1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 BRUCE CORKER d/b/a RANCHO ALOHA; No.: 2:19-cv-00290-RSSL COLEHOUR BONDERA and MELANIE 10 BONDERA, husband and wife d/b/a JOINT UNOPPOSED MOTION AND KANALANI OHANA FARM; and ROBERT ORDER FOR LEAVE TO FILE 11 SMITH and CECELIA SMITH, husband and CONSOLIDATED BRIEFS REGARDING wife d/b/a SMITHFARMS, on behalf of **CERTAIN EXPERTS** themselves and others similarly situated, 12 13 Plaintiffs, 14 v. MULVADI CORPORATION, a Hawaii corporation; MNS LTD., a Hawaii Corporation; et al. 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiffs and Defendant MNS, LTD. ("MNS") respectfully request leave to file 19 consolidated briefs, responses, and replies regarding expert admissibility. Plaintiffs 20 respectfully request leave to file a single 24-page brief addressing the admissibility of the 21 expert opinions of Robert Wagner and Timothy Calkins and a single 24-page brief 22 addressing the admissibility of the expert opinions of Andrew Hetzel and Shawn Steiman. 23 MNS respectfully requests leave to file a single 24-page brief addressing the admissibility of 24 the expert opinions of Cesar Vega and Alan Nietlisbach. Plaintiffs and Defendant MNS also 25 respectfully request leave to file reciprocal consolidated 24-page responses and 12-page 26

replies. 1 2 Plaintiffs and Defendant MNS note that they are not requesting any pages in addition 3 to the amount that would be allowed if they were to file separate briefs. Under Local Court 4 Rule 7(e)(2), a separate motion to exclude must be under 12 pages, its corresponding response must be under 12 pages, and its corresponding reply must be under 6 pages. Thus, 5 because each brief will address the opinions of two experts, no total additional briefing pages 6 are being requested than would be allowed if separate briefs were filed. 7 8 Plaintiffs and Defendant MNS believe that filing consolidated briefs, responses, and replies regarding expert admissibility will be more efficient for the Court and 9 the parties because it will allow the parties to address the opinions common between the two 10 experts addressed in each brief without duplicating certain arguments, declarations, or exhibits. 12 13 DATED: December 12, 2022 BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC 14 CADES SCHUTTEL LLP 15 By /s/ Daniel R. Bentson By /s/ Kelly G. LaPorte Daniel R. Bentson, WSBA #36825 Kelly G. LaPorte, pro hac vice 16 Amanda Jones, pro hac vice E-mail: dan.bentson@bullivant.com Nathaniel Dang, pro hac vice 17 E-mail: klaporte@cades.com E-mail: ajones@cades.com 18 E-mail: ndang@cades.com 19 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL, P.S. 20 BERNSTEIN, LLP By /s/ Nathan T. Paine 21 Nathan T. Paine, WSBA #34487 By /s/ Jason L. Lichtman 22 Joshua M. Howard, WSBA #52189 Jason L. Lichtman (pro hac vice) Daniel T. Hagen, WSBA #54015 Daniel E. Seltz (pro hac vice) 23 Email: npaine@karrtuttle.com Email: jlichtman@lchb.com Email: jhoward@karrtuttle.com Email: dseltz@lchb.com 24 Andrew Kaufman (pro hac vice) Email: dhagen@karrtuttle.com Email: akaufman@lchb.com 25 26

JOINT UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER FOR LEAVE TO FILE CONSOLIDATED BRIEFS REGARDING CERTAIN EXPERTS NO.: 2:19-CV-00290-RSSL

1	The motion is GRANTED. Plaintiffs may file a single brief addressing the
2	The motion is GRANTED. I faintiffs may me a single offer addressing the
3	admissibility of the expert opinions of Robert Wagner and Timothy Calkins limited
4	to 24 pages and a single brief addressing the admissibility of the expert opinions of
5	Andrew Hetzel and Shawn Steiman limited to 24 pages. MNS may file a single
6	brief addressing the admissibility of the expert opinion of Cesar Vega and Alan
7	Nietlisbach limited to 24 pages. Responses to each brief may be up to 24 pages and
9	replies in support may be up to 12 pages.
10	
11	Dated this 12th day of December, 2022.
12	Mars (- 1-
13	MMS (asmik) Robert S. Lasnik
14	United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	